arXiv:1601.04960v1 [math.DG] 19 Jan 2016

QUANTIZATION OF HITCHIN'S EQUATIONS FOR HIGGS BUNDLES I

MARIO GARCIA-FERNANDEZ, JULIEN KELLER, AND JULIUS ROSS

Abstract. We provide an algebraic framework for quantization of Hermitian metrics that are solutions of the Hitchin equation for Higgs bundles over a projective manifold. Using Geometric Invariant Theory, we introduce a notion of balanced metrics in this context. We show that balanced metrics converge at the quantum limit towards the solution of the Hitchin equation. We relate the existence of balanced metrics to the Gieseker stability of the Higgs bundle.

Introduction

The techniques of quantization in complex geometry give a way to approximate analytically defined objects by algebraic ones. Two beautiful examples of this are the quantization of hermitian metrics on complex vector bundles over a Kähler manifold, in particular Hermitian-Einstein metrics, and the quantization of Kähler metrics on complex manifolds, in particular extremal Kähler metrics. In both cases the theory has practical consequences, such as providing a method to compute numerical approximations to these metrics, as well as theoretical ones, such as uniqueness theorems and illuminating the connection with stability.

In this paper we provide a framework for quantization of metrics on a Higgs bundle, by which we mean a pair (E, ϕ) consisting of a holomorphic vector bundle E of rank $\mathrm{rk}(E) \geq 2$ over a Kähler manifold (X, ω) and a holomorphic map

$$\phi \colon E \to E \otimes \Omega_X^1$$

called the *Higgs field* that is required to satisfy $\phi \wedge \phi = 0$. A hermitian metric h on E is said to satisfy the *Hitchin equation* (also called in the literature Hermitian-Yang-Mills equation for Higgs bundle) if

$$\Lambda \left(\sqrt{-1} F_h + [\phi, \phi^{*h}] \right) = \frac{\mu(E)}{V} \mathrm{Id}_E.$$

Here F_h denotes the curvature of the Chern connection associated to h, ϕ^{*h} denotes the image of ϕ by the combination of the anti-holomorphic involution in $\mathrm{End}(E)$ determined by h with the conjugation of 1-forms, Λ is the contraction with the Kähler form ω, V is the volume of (X, ω)

Date: January 19, 2016.

Key words and phrases. Higgs bundle, Hitchin equation, Yang-Mills-Higgs equation, balanced metric, quantization, projective, Gieseker stability.

and $\mu(E) = \deg(E)/\mathrm{rk}(E)$ is the slope of E. There is an analogue of the Donaldson–Uhlenbeck–Yau Theorem due to Hitchin and Simpson [16, 30], which states that E admits a hermitian metric that solves the Hitchin equation if and only if (E, ϕ) is slope-polystable.

In this paper we define a notion of *balanced metric* for Higgs bundles with the following features:

(1) Balanced metrics are finite dimensional approximations of solutions to the Hitchin equation, and
(2) The existence of balanced metrics is related to a form of stability of the underlying Higgs bundle just as in Hitchin–Simpson Theorem.

We define this balanced condition in two ways, first in terms of a moment map and second using the density of states function (also known as the Bergman function). For both of these we must assume the Kähler form ω is integral, so lies in $c_1(L)$ for some ample line bundle L, and let h_L be a hermitian metric on L whose Chern connection has curvature $-\sqrt{-1}\omega$. Given any basis $\underline{s}$ for $H^0(E\otimes L^k)$, the evaluation map induces a holomorphic

$$u_{\underline{s}} \colon X \to \mathrm{Grass}(\mathbb{C}^N; \mathrm{rk}(E)) =: \mathbb{G}$$

from X to the Grassmannian of $\mathrm{rk}(E)$-dimensional quotients of $\mathbb{C}^N$ where $N := N_k := h^0(E\otimes L^k)$. Moreover for k sufficiently large $u_{\underline{s}}$ is an embedding, and $E \otimes L^k \simeq u_{\underline{s}}^*\mathcal{U}$ where $\mathcal{U}$ denotes the universal quotient bundle on $\mathbb{G}$. We write the space of such maps as

$$\mathrm{Map} := \mathrm{Map}_k := \{u : X \to \mathbb{G} \text{ such that } u \text{ is holomorphic}\}.$$

Then there is a space

$$Z := Z_k \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Map} \tag{0.1}$$

whose fiber over $u \in \mathrm{Map}$ is

$$Z|_u = H^0(\mathrm{End}(u^*\mathcal{U}) \otimes \Omega^1_X).$$

We define a Kähler structure on the regular part of Z as follows. First there is a Kähler form Ω^{Map} on Map (as used by Wang [35]) given by

$$\Omega^{\mathrm{Map}}|_u(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \int_X u^*\omega_{\mathbb{G}}(\eta_1, \eta_2)\frac{\omega^n}{n!} \text{ for } \eta_1, \eta_2 \in T_u \,\mathrm{Map} = H^0(u^*T\mathbb{G})$$

where $\omega_{\mathbb{G}}$ denotes the standard Fubini-Study form on $\mathbb{G}$. Next observe that for any $\phi \in Z|_u = H^0(\mathrm{End}(u^*\mathcal{U}) \otimes \Omega^1_X)$ the pullback of the Fubini-Study metric h_{FS} on $\mathcal{U}$ along with the hermitian metric on Ω^1_X induced by ω gives a pointwise hermitian metric on ϕ. We then let

$$\Omega_k := \pi^*\Omega^{\mathrm{Map}} + \frac{\lambda}{4} dd^c \int_X \log(1 + \lambda^{-1}k^{-1}|\cdot|^2)$$

where the dd^c means taking derivatives in the directions in Z, and λ is the real constant

$$\lambda := \frac{1}{2(\mathrm{rk}(E)-1)}.$$

We will see that Ω_k is a positive closed $(1,1)$-form that is invariant with respect to the naturally induced SU_N-action, and this action is hamiltonian so admits a moment map.

Definition. A hermitian metric h on E is *balanced at level* k if is of the form

$$h = u_{\underline{s}}^* h_{FS} \otimes h_L^{-k}$$

where $\underline{s}$ is a basis of $H^0(E \otimes L^k)$ such that the point

$$(u_{\underline{s}}, \phi) \in Z$$

is a zero of the moment map for the SU_N action with respect to Ω_k.

In fact in Section 2 the form on Z we consider will depend on two real parameters α, β, and what is described in this introduction is a special case. The precise choice of the constant λ is unimportant; for the positivity of Ω_k we may take any constant smaller than $2(\mathrm{rk}(E)-1)^{-1}$.

As we will show, this definition can be recast intrinsically in terms of the Bergman function. Given any hermitian metric h on E, we have an L^2-inner product on $H^0(E \otimes L^k)$ induced by h, h_L and the volume form determined by ω. The Bergman function is then defined to be

$$B_k(h) := \sum_i s_i \otimes s_i^{*h \otimes h_L^k} \in C^\infty(\mathrm{End}(E))$$

where $\{s_i\}$ is any orthonormal basis for $H^0(E \otimes L^k)$. We let

$$\mathfrak{C}_k(h) := \frac{1}{k(1+\lambda^{-1}k^{-1}|\phi|_h^2)} \Lambda[\phi, \phi^{*h}]$$

which is a smooth endomorphism of E that is hermitian with respect to h.

Definition. A hermitian metric h on a Higgs bundle (E, ϕ) is *balanced* at level $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if the hermitian metric

$$\widehat{h} := h(\mathrm{Id}_E - \mathfrak{C}_k(h))$$

satisfies

$$B_k(\widehat{h}) = \frac{N}{\mathrm{rk}(E)V}(\mathrm{Id}_E - \mathfrak{C}_k(h))$$

where $N := h^0(E \otimes L^k)$ and $V := \int_X \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$.

Equivalently $h \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$ is balanced at level k if

$$\sum_i t_i \otimes t_i^{*h \otimes h_L^k} = \frac{N}{\mathrm{rk}(E)V}\mathrm{Id}_E$$

where $\{t_i\}$ is a basis for $H^0(E \otimes L^k)$ that is orthonormal with respect to the L^2-inner product defined using $\widehat{h}$ (see Lemma 3.2).

Statement of Results. The purpose of this paper is to describe what we believe to be the correct framework for quantization of the Hitchin equation. We prove two theorems that support this, with the expectation of providing more in the future. The first gives connection between balanced metrics and the Hitchin equation asymptotically as k tends to infinity, and to state it precisely let $\mathrm{scal}(\omega)$ denote the scalar curvature of ω, and $\mathrm{scal}(\omega)_0 := \mathrm{scal}(\omega) - \overline{S}$ where $\overline{S}$ is the average of $\mathrm{scal}(\omega)$ over X. We let $\mathrm{Met}(E)$ denote the set of hermitian metrics on a Higgs bundle (E, ϕ).

Theorem A. *(Theorem 3.4) Suppose $h_k \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$ is a sequence such that h_k is balanced at level k that converge to $h_\infty \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$ as k tends to infinity. Then h_∞ satisfies the equation*

$$\Lambda\left(\sqrt{-1}F_{h_\infty} + [\phi, \phi^{*h_\infty}]\right) = \left(\frac{\mu(E)}{V} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{scal}_0(\omega)\right)\mathrm{Id}_E. \tag{0.2}$$

Thus after a possible conformal change, h_∞ satisfies the Hitchin equation.

In the absolute case (i.e. without a Higgs field) there is a converse to this statement proved by Wang [35] (following ideas of Donaldson [7]). In a sequel to this paper we will provide the analogous statement for Higgs bundles and prove that the existence of a solution to the Hitchin equation implies the existence of balanced metrics for k sufficiently large.

On the algebraic side we give the following Hitchin–Simpson type statement for Higgs bundles that relates the existence of balanced metrics to a form of stability (c.f. Wang [35] in the absolute case):

Theorem B. *(Theorem 4.1) There exists a k_0 such that for all $k \geq k_0$ the following holds: if (E, ϕ) admits a hermitian metric that is balanced at level k then it is Gieseker semistable. If moreover E is irreducible then (E, ϕ) is Gieseker stable.*

Context and Comparison with other work. The moduli space of Higgs bundles can be thought about in various ways. Analytically, letting $\mathcal{J}$ denote the space of holomorphic structures on a complex vector bundle E, the moduli space is obtained as the quotient of the set of those (J, h, ϕ) in $\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{J} \times \mathrm{Met}(E) \times \Omega^{1,0}(X, \mathrm{End}(E))$ such that h is compatible with J and

$$\Lambda(\sqrt{-1}F_{h,J} + [\phi, \phi^{*h}]) = \mathrm{const}\,\mathrm{Id} \text{ and } \overline{\partial}_J\phi = 0$$

by the group $\mathcal{G} = GL(E)$ of gauge transformations; so as a quotient of an infinite dimensional space by an infinite dimensional group. On the other hand, through the Hitchin–Simpson Theorem, it can also be described algebraically as a space of (poly)stable objects, so as a Geometric Invariant Theory quotient of a finite dimensional space Z by a finite dimensional group G. There are different ways in which this can be done, and here we identify one that reflects many aspects of the infinite dimensional picture.

Slightly more precisely we may think of the quotient of the space Z_k described in (0.1) by the action of GL_{N_k} as a moduli space of Higgs bundles

(of some kind). Moreover these spaces Z_k carry with them various structures that approximate the infinite dimensional structures as k tends to infinity, roughly summarized by the following dictionary:

Algebraic	**Analytic**
Z_k	$\mathcal{Z}$
GL_{N_k}	$\mathcal{G}$
GIT stability	Slope stability
Balanced equation	Hitchin equation
Negative Gradient flow of the balancing map	Donaldson heat flow
Iterative method	Discretization of Donaldson heat flow
$\vdots$	$\vdots$

It is interesting to ask if other aspects of the moduli space of Higgs bundles are also reflected algebraically in this way (for instance the hyperkähler structure, the integrable system, or compactification considerations) but we leave such questions for future consideration.

A related notion of balanced metric was introduced by JK in [18], for suitable quiver sheaves arising from dimensional reduction considered in [3], but as pointed out in [2] this does not allow twisting in the endomorphism and thus does not apply to Higgs bundles. We remark also that our definition differs from that of L. Wang for which a link with stability was missing [33, Remark p.31]. In previous work of MGF and JR [12] a different parameter space was used giving a slightly different balanced condition (that considers the case of twisted Higgs bundles with globally generated twist). This different parameter space has the advantage in that the link with stability is easier to see, but the disadvantage that the link with the Hitchin equation is harder.

As we will see, asymptotically as k tends to infinity the condition that $h \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$ be balanced is that

$$B_k(h) + k^{n-1}[\phi, \phi^{*h}] = \mathrm{Id} + O(k^{n-2}) \tag{0.3}$$

This was the equation considered by Donagi–Wijnholt [9, §3.3] which in fact was the original motivation of the authors for looking at balanced metrics on Higgs bundles. What we define in this paper is a refinement of (0.3) that fits into a moment map framework. In fact, Donaji–Wijnholt consider this so as to discuss an iterative method, which can be used to numerically calculate approximate solutions to the Hitchin equation. In the absolute case (i.e. without the Higgs field) this iteration has been carried out [10].

The results in this work generalize to arbitrary twisted quiver bundles with relations, as studied in [2, 3, 25, 26, 27]. In this more general set-up, the existence of solutions of the *twisted quiver vortex equations* is related with

the slope stability of the twisted quiver bundle. A notion of Gieseker stability for twisted quiver sheaves has been provided in [1, 26] for the construction of a moduli space. We have focused our attention on Higgs bundles, but it is not hard to see that the parameter space we use here generalises to cover also these cases, and there is an analogous definition of balanced metric. There is also a definition of Higgs principle bundle [14, 15] and, more recently, generalized quiver bundle [4], and it may be interesting to know what the definition of balanced metric is in this case.

Acknowledgments. JR is supported by an EPSRC Career Acceleration Fellowship (EP/J002062/1). As Bye-fellow of Churchill college, JK is very grateful to Churchill college and Cambridge University for providing him excellent conditions of work during his stay. The work of JK has been carried out in the framework of the Labex Archimède (ANR-11-LABX-0033) and of the A*MIDEX project (ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02), funded by the "Investissements d'Avenir" French Government programme managed by the French National Research Agency (ANR). JK is also partially supported by supported by the ANR project EMARKS, decision No ANR-14-CE25-0010. MGF has been partially supported by the Nigel Hitchin Laboratory under the ICMAT Severo Ochoa grant No. SEV-2011-0087 and under grant No. MTM2013-43963-P by the Spanish MINECO. In the final stage of this research, MGF has been supported by a Marie Sklodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship funded by the Horizon 2020 Programme of the European Commission (655162-H2020-MSCA-IF-2014).

1. Notation and Preliminaries

1.1. **Preliminaries on Higgs Bundles.** Throughout X will be a projective manifold of dimension n, and ω a Kähler form on X which induces a volume form $\omega^{[n]} = \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$. We let $V := \int_X \omega^{[n]}$ denote the volume of X and $\Lambda \colon \Omega^{p,q} \to \Omega^{p-1.q-1}$ denote the contraction with respect to ω.

Given a holomorphic vector bundle E we let $\mathrm{Met}(E)$ denote the set of hermitian metrics on E. Any $h \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$ has an associated Chern connection, whose curvature we denote by F_h. For the most part we assume that ω is integral so lies in $c_1(L)$ for some ample line bundle L. Then there exists an (essentially unique) $h_L \in \mathrm{Met}(L)$ such that $\sqrt{-1}F_{h_L} = \omega$.

Given $h \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$ we have for each k an L^2-inner product on $H^0(E \otimes L^k)$ induced by the hermitian metric $h \otimes h_L^k$ and the volume form $\omega^{[n]}$. Since only the dependence on h is of interest, we shall denote this by $L^2(h)$.

A *Higgs bundle* consists of a pair (E, ϕ) where E is a holomorphic bundle on X and $\phi \in H^0(\mathrm{End}(E) \otimes \Omega^1_X)$ such that $\phi \wedge \phi = 0$. Notice that ω induces a hermitian metric on Ω^1_X, but since this is fixed we omit it from our notation. So given $h \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$ we have a pointwise norm of ϕ that we denote simply $|\phi|_h$. The 'pointwise dual' ϕ^{*h} is defined by taking the image of ϕ by the combination of the anti-holomorphic involution on $\mathrm{End}(E)$ induced by h and

complex conjugation on 1-forms (which we shall also denote by ϕ^* when h is clear from context) and is a smooth section of $\mathrm{End}(E)\otimes\Omega^1_X$. More explicitly, ϕ^* is defined by the identity on 1-forms

$$(\phi v, w)_h = (v, \phi^* w)_h,$$

for any $v, w \in E$. Given ϕ_1, ϕ_2 smooth sections of $\mathrm{End}(E)\otimes\Omega^1_X$ we have the commutator $[\phi_1, \phi_2] = \phi_1\phi_2 + \phi_2\phi_1$ where the product means composition of the endomorphism part and wedge product of the form part, which is thus a smooth section of $\mathrm{End}(E)\otimes\Omega^2_X$, and is antisymmetric $[\phi_1, \phi_2] = -[\phi_2, \phi_1]$. Finally the slope of E is $\mu(E) = \deg(E)/\mathrm{rk}(E)$ where $\deg(E)$ is the degree of E taken with respect to the class of ω.

Definition 1.1. A *Higgs bundle* (E, ϕ) is *Gieseker stable* (resp. semistable) if for all coherent subsheaves $F \subset E$ such that $\phi(F) \subset F \otimes \Omega^1_X$ we have

$$\frac{\chi(F\otimes L^k)}{\mathrm{rk}(F)} < \frac{\chi(E\otimes L^k)}{\mathrm{rk}(E)} \text{ (resp. } \leq) \text{ for all } k \gg 0.$$

Definition 1.2. A Higgs bundle (E, ϕ) is *slope stable* (resp. semistable) if for all coherent subsheaves $F \subset E$ such that $\phi(F) \subset F \otimes \Omega^1_X$ we have

$$\mu(F) < \mu(E) \text{ (resp. } \leq)$$

We say that the Higgs bundle is slope polystable if it is a direct sum of Higgs bundles of the same slope.

This notion of Gieseker stability appeared in the work of Simpson [29]. As in the usual case, slope stability of a Higgs bundle implies Gieseker stability, and Gieseker semistability implies slope semistability, and a slope stable Higgs bundle is simple, i.e has no non-trivial holomorphic endomorphism.

Theorem 1.3 (Hitchin–Simpson Theorem)**.** *Let (E, ϕ) be a Higgs bundle. The following statements are equivalent:*

1. *The Higgs bundle (E, ϕ) is slope polystable.*
2. *For any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ there exists an $h \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$ that solves the equation*

$$\Lambda(\sqrt{-1}F_h + \tau[\phi, \phi^{*h}]) = \frac{\mu(E)}{V}\mathrm{Id}_E. \tag{1.1}$$

Remark 1.4. This theorem is due to Hitchin [16] when $\dim X = 1$ and Simpson [28] in all dimensions. Although this is often stated as an equivalence when $\tau = 1$ we remark that the above statement follows immediately since (E, ϕ) is slope-polystable if and only if $(E, \tau\phi)$ is (although there is usually no easy way to pass between the solutions that solves (1.1) for different values of τ). We remark also that this correspondence does not require ω to be integral.

1.2. **Fubini-Study metric on the Grassmannians.** We collect some standard facts about Fubini-Study metrics on the Grassmannian. Let $\mathbb{G} := \mathbb{G}(N-r, \mathbb{C}^N)$ denote the Grassmannian of $(N-r)$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^N$. Denote the tautological bundle by

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(\Lambda, v) \in \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{C}^N : \Lambda \in \mathbb{G}, v \in \Lambda\}.$$

We want also to consider $\mathbb{G}$ as the space of r-dimensional quotients of $\mathbb{C}^N$, which has a universal quotient bundle sitting in the exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}} \to \mathcal{U} \to 0$. We think of this exact sequence as being a sequence of hermitian bundles, whose middle term $\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}}$ is the trivial bundle with the constant metric given by the standard metric on the $\mathbb{C}^N$ fibres (and thus is flat when thought of as a hermitian metric on a bundle over $\mathbb{G}$). This induces hermitian metrics $H_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $H_{\mathcal{U}}$ on $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ respectively, and we shall call the metric $H_{\mathcal{U}}$ the *Fubini-Study* metric and denote it also by h_{FS}. We also define a Kähler-form $\omega_{\mathbb{G}}$ by

$$\omega_{\mathbb{G}} = \sqrt{-1} F_{\det(H_{\mathcal{S}}^*)} = -\mathrm{Tr}\sqrt{-1} F_{H_{\mathcal{S}}},$$

which we refer to as the *Fubini-Study form*. One computes easily using the second-fundamental form $\beta \in \mathcal{A}^{1,0}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{U}))$ [13, p.78] that

$$\mathrm{tr}\sqrt{-1} F_{H_{\mathcal{U}}} = \sqrt{-1}\mathrm{tr}(\beta \wedge \beta^*) = -\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{tr}(\beta^* \wedge \beta) = -\mathrm{tr}\sqrt{-1} F_{H_{\mathcal{S}}} = \omega_{\mathbb{G}}. \tag{1.2}$$

2. Balanced Metrics: definition and reformulation

2.1. **Parameter Spaces.** We return now to the moment map framework for the balanced condition, for which we require a finite dimensional parameter space. First we recall the analogous picture for the quantization of metrics on holomorphic bundles, as considered by Wang [35]. As above X is to be a projective manifold of dimension n and L an ample line bundle on X. Fix a polynomial $N = N(k) = rk^n + \cdots$ where $r \in \mathbb{N}$ (which should be thought of as the Hilbert polynomial of the vector bundles we will eventually consider). Let

$$\mathbb{G} = \mathrm{Grass}(\mathbb{C}^N; r)$$

be the Grassmannian of r-dimensional quotients which carries a tautological quotient bundle

$$\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}} \to \mathcal{U} \to 0$$

We then let

$$\mathrm{Map} := \{u : X \to \mathbb{G} : u \text{ is holomorphic}\}$$

whose tangent space at $u \in \mathrm{Map}$ is

$$T_u \mathrm{Map} = H^0(u^* T\mathbb{G}).$$

To incorporate the Higgs field, consider the universal evaluation map

$$e : \mathrm{Map} \times X \to \mathbb{G} \text{ given by } e(u, x) = u(x)$$

and define

$$\mathcal{Z} := (\pi_1)_*(e^*\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{U}) \otimes \pi_2^*\Omega_X^1),$$

where $\pi_1\colon \mathrm{Map} \times X \to \mathrm{Map}$ and $\pi_2\colon \mathrm{Map} \times X \to X$ are the projections. Thus the stalk of $\mathcal{Z}$ over $u \in \mathrm{Map}_k$ is precisely

$$\mathcal{Z}|_u = H^0(\mathrm{End}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^1) \text{ where } E := u^*\mathcal{U} \otimes L^{-k}.$$

Definition 2.1. We let Z be the total space (i.e. the space of stalks) of $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\pi\colon Z \to \mathrm{Map}$ be the natural projection.

Of course this whole construction depends on the parameter k that has been omitted from notation. The way in which Z parameterizes Higgs bundles (E, ϕ) is obvious, for if E has rank r and Hilbert polynomial N then for k sufficiently large $h^0(E \otimes L^k) = N(k)$, and any basis $\underline{s}$ for $H^0(E \otimes L^k)$ induces a $u_{\underline{s}} \in \mathrm{Map}$. Moreover, $u_{\underline{s}}$ is even an embedding for k sufficiently large, $u_{\underline{s}}^*\mathcal{U} = E \otimes L^k$, and the Higgs field gives, for each choice of $\underline{s}$, a point in $Z|_{u_{\underline{s}}} = H^0(\mathrm{End}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^1)$.

2.2. **Kähler structure on Z.** Observe that Z need not be smooth, even over the smooth locus of Map, since $\mathcal{Z}$ may not be locally free. One way to deal with this is to replace Z with its smooth locus, or otherwise replace Z with it restriction to a subset of the regular locus Map over which $\mathcal{Z}$ is locally free. We presume that one of this options has been chosen, and for simplicity denote the new space also by Z (we will later be interested only in a single GL_N orbit of Z so this replacement has no effect).

As discussed in the introduction, the smooth locus of Map has a Kähler structure given by

$$\Omega_u^{\mathrm{Map}}(v, v') := \int_X \omega_{\mathbb{G}}(v, v')\omega^{[n]} \text{ for } v, v' \in T_u\,\mathrm{Map} = H^0(u^*T\mathbb{G}),$$

where $\omega_{\mathbb{G}}$ denotes the Fubini-Study form of the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}$. It is immediate (see [35]) that Ω^{Map} is Kähler.

For the fiber directions in Z we construct a potential as follows. Let h_{FS} denote the Fubini-Study hermitian metric on $\mathcal{U}$. Then given $u \in \mathrm{Map}$ there is an induced hermitian metric on $\mathrm{End}(u^*\mathcal{U}) \otimes \Omega_X^1$ obtained by the tensor product of the pullback of h_{FS} and the hermitian metric on Ω_X^1 induced by ω. By abuse of notation we denote this simply by u^*h_{FS}.

Definition 2.2. Fix $\alpha > 0$. Then for $u \in \mathrm{Map}$ and $\phi \in Z|_u = H^0(\mathrm{End}(u^*\mathcal{U}) \otimes \Omega_X^1)$ let

$$\Gamma(\phi) := \Gamma(\phi, \alpha) := \int_X \log(1 + \alpha|\phi|^2_{u^*h_{FS}})\omega^{[n]}.$$

Definition 2.3. For $\alpha, \beta > 0$ let

$$\Omega_{\alpha,\beta} := \pi^*\Omega^{Map} + \frac{\beta}{4}dd^c\Gamma(\cdot, \alpha),$$

where dd^c denotes differentiation in the directions in Z.

Clearly $\Omega_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a closed $(1,1)$-form, we check now that it is positive.

Proposition 2.4. *Assume* $\mathrm{rk}(E) > 1$. *For* $\alpha > 0$ *and* $\beta < \frac{2}{(\mathrm{rk}(E)-1)}$, *the form* $\Omega_{\alpha,\beta}$ *is positive.*

Before the proof we introduce some notation that we will also require later. Fix $x \in X$ and consider the vector bundle $V = V_x$ over Map whose fibre over $u \in \mathrm{Map}$ is $u(x)^*\mathcal{U}$, so

$$V = V_x = e_x^*\mathcal{U} \text{ where } e_x \colon \mathrm{Map} \to \mathbb{G} \text{ is } e_x(u) = u(x). \tag{2.1}$$

Then V carries with it the pullback of the Fubini-Study metric given by $H_V := e_x^* h_{FS}$ and $F_{H_V} = e_x^* F_{h_{FS}}$.

Now let ζ be the n-dimensional hermitian vector space $\Omega^1_X|_x$ along with the hermitian metric h_ζ induced by ω and define a vector bundle

$$W = W_x := \mathrm{End}(V) \otimes \zeta = V \otimes V^* \otimes \zeta \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Map} \tag{2.2}$$

with the hermitian metric $H_W := H_V \otimes H_{V^*} \otimes h_\zeta$. Define $\Gamma_x \colon W \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Gamma_x(w) = \log(1 + \alpha|w|^2) \text{ for } w \in W. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof of 2.4. Set $r := \mathrm{rk}(E)$. We claim that as long as $\beta < \frac{2}{(r-1)}$ we have

$$\pi^* e_x^* \omega_{\mathbb{G}} + \frac{\beta}{4} dd^c \Gamma_x > 0 \tag{2.4}$$

as forms on Z (we recall for the reader's convenience that for a $(1,1)$-form α to be positive means that $-\sqrt{-1}\alpha(\rho, \overline{\rho}) > 0$ for all $(1,0)$-vector field $\rho \neq 0$ in W).

To prove (2.4), the Chern connection of H_W splits the tangent space of W into vertical and horizontal parts, that we denote by ρ_v and ρ_h respectively for $\rho \in TW$. Clearly $dd^c\Gamma_x$ is strictly positive in the vertical direction, and one can compute that the cross-terms vanish [23, Lemma 2.6]. Thus we are left looking at the horizontal component for which there is the following formula [23, 2.7,2.8]

$$(dd^c\Gamma_x)_h|_w = \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha|w|^2} \pi^*(\sqrt{-1} F_W w, w)_{H_W} \text{ for } w \in W, \tag{2.5}$$

where here dd^c denotes differentiation in the total space of W. Now

$$\sqrt{-1} F_W w = [\sqrt{-1} F_V, w],$$

where $V = e_x^*\mathcal{U}$ is as in (2.1), and the bracket on the right is the commutator acting only on the $\mathrm{End}(V)$ part of W. Suppose now $\rho = \rho_h + \rho_v \in T_w W$, so $\rho_h \in T_{\pi(w)}\,\mathrm{Map}$ and set

$$\Theta = F_V(\rho_h, \overline{\rho_h}).$$

Then (2.5) becomes

$$-\sqrt{-1}(dd^c\Gamma_x)_h|_w(\rho, \overline{\rho}) = \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha|w|^2}([\Theta, w], w)_{H_W},$$

and we wish to bound this quantity from below. To this end observe that

$$([\Theta, w], w)_{H_W} = \mathrm{tr}(w^*(\Theta w - w\Theta)) = \mathrm{tr}(\Theta[w, w^*]).$$

Then as $\mathcal{U}$ is the quotient of a trivial bundle we have Θ is semipositive (that is, $(\Theta(v), v)_{H_V} \geq 0$ for all $v \in V$) [17, 4.3.19]. Recall that if A, B are hermitian semipositive matrices then $\mathrm{tr}(AB) \leq \mathrm{tr}A\,\mathrm{tr}B$. Using

$$|[w, w^*]| \leq 2|w|^2, \tag{2.6}$$

it follows that $2|w|^2\mathrm{Id}_V - [w, w^*] \geq 0$, and we have

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(\Theta(2|w|^2\mathrm{Id}_V - [w, w^*])\right) \leq \mathrm{tr}\Theta\,\mathrm{tr}(2|w|^2\mathrm{Id} - [w, w^*]) = 2r|w|^2\mathrm{tr}\Theta,$$

which rearranging becomes

$$\mathrm{tr}(\Theta[w, w^*]) \geq 2(1-r)|w|^2\mathrm{tr}\Theta.$$

Now from the definition of the Fubini-Study metric $\omega_{\mathbb{G}}$ on the Grassmannian (1.2),

$$\mathrm{tr}\sqrt{-1}\Theta = \mathrm{tr}e_x^*\sqrt{-1}F_{h_{FS}}(\rho_h, \overline{\rho_h}) = e_x^*\omega_{\mathbb{G}}(\rho_h, \overline{\rho_h})$$

so we end up with

$$-\sqrt{-1}(dd^c\Gamma_x)_h(\rho, \overline{\rho}) \geq 2(1-r)\frac{\alpha|w|^2\mathrm{tr}\Theta}{1+\alpha|w|^2} \geq 2(1-r)(-\sqrt{-1}\pi^*e_x^*\omega_{\mathbb{G}}(\rho, \overline{\rho})).$$

Therefore as long as $\beta < \frac{2}{(r-1)}$ we have

$$\pi^*e_x^*\omega_{\mathbb{G}} + \frac{\beta}{4}dd^c\Gamma_x > 0,$$

which proves the claim (2.4).

The Proposition follows from this by integrating over X. To see this, consider

$$\epsilon_x \colon Z \to W_x \text{ given by } \epsilon_x(\phi) = \phi(x) \text{ for } \phi \in Z$$

which commutes with projection (i.e. covers the identity on Map). One checks easily that if $\eta \in TZ$ then

$$(d\Gamma, \eta) = \int_X (d\Gamma_x, D\epsilon_x(\eta))\omega^{[n]}$$

, where, we emphasize once again, the d on the right hand side is taken in the total space of W_x. A similar expression holds for dd^c, so multiplying (2.4) by $\omega^{[n]}$ and integrating over X gives

$$\pi^*\Omega^{\mathrm{Map}} + \frac{\beta}{4}dd^c\Gamma > 0$$

as by definition $\Omega^{\mathrm{Map}} = \int_X (e_x^*\omega_{\mathbb{G}})\omega^{[n]}$. □

2.3. **Hamiltonian actions on the total space of a vector bundle.** The general linear group GL_N (resp. unitary group U_N) acts on $\mathbb{G}$, and hence induces an action on Z covering an action on Map. Clearly $\Omega_{\alpha,\beta}$ is U_N invariant and, by construction, this action is hamiltonian. To see this, note that the U_N-action on Map is hamiltonian [35] and that $\Omega_{\alpha,\beta}$ is obtained from $\pi^*\Omega^{\mathrm{Map}}$ by adding a U_N-invariant exact form. To calculate the moment map, we need some generalities about hamiltonian actions on the total space of a vector bundle, that we address now.

Let W be the total space of a holomorphic vector bundle over a compact complex manifold

$$W \to Q.$$

Let h_W be a Hermitian metric on W and consider for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ the potential

$$\gamma(w) = \log(1 + \alpha|w|^2_{h_W}) \text{ for } w \in W.$$

We define the 1-form on W

$$\sigma_W = d^c\gamma.$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let 1_W be the canonical vertical vector field on W. Then*

$$\sigma_W(v) = \frac{2\sqrt{-1}\alpha}{1 + \alpha|w|^2_{h_W}} \operatorname{Im}(Av, 1_W)_{h_W},$$

where h_W is identified with a hermitian metric on the vertical bundle of W and A denotes the vertical projection with respect to the Chern connection of h_W.

Proof. Consider holomorphic coordinates z_j on Q and a holomorphic trivialization of W, defined on the same open patch. Given $w \in W$ in this open patch, we can identify it with $w = (z, e)$ in $U \times \mathbb{C}^r$ and further we can assume that $h_W = \mathrm{Id}_W + O(|z|^2)$. We now have

$$\begin{aligned}(d^c\gamma)_{|z=0} &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}\alpha}{1 + \alpha|w|^2_{h_W}}(\overline{\partial} - \partial)(e^* h_W e))_{|z=0},\\ &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}\alpha}{1 + \alpha e^* e}((\partial e)^* e - e^*\partial e)),\\ &= \frac{2\sqrt{-1}\alpha}{1 + \alpha e^* e} \operatorname{Im}(\partial e)^* e.\end{aligned}$$

Now, for a vector field v on W, we can express locally $v = (\dot{z}, \dot{e})$ and we have

$$(Av)_{|z=0} = (0, \dot{e} - \dot{u} \lrcorner (h_W^{-1} h_W))_{|z=0} = (0, \dot{e})_{|z=0},$$

which implies

$$v \lrcorner (d^c\gamma)_{|z=0} = \frac{2\sqrt{-1}\alpha}{1 + \alpha e^* e} \operatorname{Im}(\dot{e})^* e = \left(\frac{2\sqrt{-1}\alpha}{1 + \alpha|w|^2_{h_W}} \operatorname{Im}(Av, 1_W)_{h_W} \right)_{|z=0}.$$

□

Suppose now that U_N acts on Q making (W, h_W) a U_N-equivariant Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over Q.

Lemma 2.6. *The U_N-action on W preserves σ_W. Moreover the action is Hamiltonian with respect to $d\sigma_W$ with moment map*

$$\langle m_W, \xi\rangle = -Y_\xi \lrcorner \sigma_W = \frac{2\sqrt{-1}\alpha}{1+\alpha|w|^2_{h_W}} \operatorname{Im}(AY_\xi, 1_W)_{h_W},$$

where Y_ξ denotes the infinitesimal action of $\xi \in \mathfrak{u}_N$ on W.

The first equality in the previous Lemma is standard [22, 5.13], while the second follows from Lemma 2.5. Note that the 2-form $d\sigma_W$ may be degenerate, hence by a moment map we mean a U_N-equivariant smooth map $m_\alpha \colon W \to \mathfrak{u}_N^*$ satisfying the usual identity

$$\langle m_W, \xi\rangle = Y_\xi \lrcorner d\sigma_W.$$

2.4. **Definition of Balanced Metrics.** We next apply the general discussion in the previous section to calculate the moment map for the U_N invariant form $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ on Z. Given $u \in \operatorname{Map}$ and $x \in X$, we regard $u(x) \in \mathbb{G}$ as a surjective map

$$u(x) \colon \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^r \cong \mathbb{C}^N/\operatorname{Ker}\, u(x) = \mathcal{U}|_{u(x)}$$

(here we abuse notation in that strictly speaking $u(x)$ is only an isomorphism class of such quotients, but this will not matter in the sequel).

We denote by μ_{FS} the moment map on $(\mathbb{G}, \omega_{FS})$ for the U_N-action, and μ^0_{FS} the trace free part of μ_{FS} associated to the SU_N action.

Proposition 2.7. *The map $\mu_{\alpha,\beta} \colon Z \to \mathfrak{u}_N$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(u,\phi) = &\int_X u^*\mu_{FS}\,\omega^{[n]} \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{4}\int_X \frac{2\sqrt{-1}\alpha}{1+\alpha|\phi|^2_{u^*h_{FS}}} u(x)^*(u(x)u(x)^*)^{-1}\Lambda[\phi_x, \phi_x^*]u(x)\omega^{[n]}\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

is a moment map for $\Omega_{\alpha,\beta}$, where $\phi \in Z_u = H^0(\operatorname{End}(u^*\mathcal{U}) \otimes \Omega^1_X)$ and ϕ_x denotes evaluation at $x \in X$.

Proof. We apply Lemma 2.6 with (W, γ) replaced with (W_x, Γ_x) from (2.2) and (2.3). Then differentiating under the integral sign, as in the proof of Proposition 2.4, the moment map we desire is

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mu_{\alpha\beta}(u,\phi), \xi\rangle = &\int_X u^*\langle \mu_{FS}, \xi\rangle \omega^{[n]} \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{4}\int_X \frac{2\sqrt{-1}\alpha}{1+\alpha|\phi|^2_{u^*h_{FS}}} \operatorname{Im}(AY_\xi, 1_Z)_{h_{W_x}}(\phi_x)\omega^{[n]}.\end{aligned}$$

We are thus left to calculate the function

$$\operatorname{Im}(AY_\xi, 1_Z)_{h_{W_x}} : W_x \to \mathbb{R}$$

for a given $x \in X$. By definition, $W_{x|u} = \operatorname{End} \mathcal{U}_{u(x)} \otimes \Omega^1_X|_x$ and ϕ_x denotes the evaluation of ϕ at x.

We let $S := u(x) \in \mathbb{G}$. The induced U_N action on the universal bundle $\mathcal{U}$ is by definition as follows: for $v \in \mathcal{U}_S$ and $g \in U_N$ take the pseudoinverse $S^*(SS^*)^{-1}v$ to obtain the point in $\mathbb{C}^N$ representing the v that is orthogonal to $\ker S$, then act with g and then take the image with S, i.e. $v \mapsto SgvS^*(SS^*)^{-1}v$. Thus for $g \in U_N$ the action on ϕ_x is

$$\phi_x \to SgS^*(SS^*)^{-1}\phi_x Sg^{-1}S^*(SS^*)^{-1}.$$

Taking appropriate holomorphic coordinates on W_x (so that the Chern connection of h_W vanishes at u), one calculates that

$$AY_\xi = [S\xi S^*(SS^*)^{-1}, \phi_x],$$

and from this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}(AY_\xi, 1_Z)_{h_{W_x}}(\phi_x) &= \operatorname{Im}\Lambda \operatorname{tr}[S\xi S^*(SS^*)^{-1}, \phi_x]\phi_x^*, \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\xi S^*(SS^*)^{-1}\Lambda[\phi_x, \phi_x^*]S, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

We now apply the above formula when u is the map $u_{\underline{s}}$ induced by a basis $\underline{s}$ of $H^0(E \otimes L^k)$, and ϕ is induced by a certain fixed Higgs field. Then the moment map becomes a function of the basis $\underline{s}$.

Definition 2.8. For any $h \in \operatorname{Met}(E)$ we let

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}(h) := \frac{\alpha\beta}{1 + \alpha|\phi|^2_h}\Lambda[\phi, \phi^{*h}]. \tag{2.8}$$

We set

$$h_{\underline{s}} := u^*_{\underline{s}} h_{FS} \otimes h_L^{-k} \in \operatorname{Met}(E)$$

so, by definition

$$\sum_i s_i \otimes s_i^{*h_{\underline{s}} \otimes h_L^k} = \frac{N}{\operatorname{rk}(E)V}\operatorname{Id}_E. \tag{2.9}$$

Then Proposition 2.7 gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_{\alpha\beta}(\underline{s}))_{ij} =& \frac{-\sqrt{-1}}{2}\int_X (s_i, s_j)_{h_{\underline{s}}}\omega^{[n]} \qquad (2.10) \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{4}\int_X \frac{2\alpha\sqrt{-1}}{1 + \alpha|\phi|^2_{h_{\underline{s}}}}(s_i, \Lambda[\phi_x, \phi_x^{*h_{\underline{s}}}]s_j)_{h_{\underline{s}}}\omega^{[n]}, \\ =& \frac{-\sqrt{-1}}{2}\int_X (s_i, s_j)_{h_{\underline{s}}}\omega^{[n]} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\int_X (s_i, \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}(h_{\underline{s}})s_j)_{h_{\underline{s}}}\omega^{[n]}. \qquad (2.11) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the trace of the matrix (2.10)

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{tr}(\mu_{\alpha\beta}(\underline{s})) &= \frac{-\sqrt{-1}}{2}\int_X \sum_i \operatorname{tr}(s_i \otimes s_i^{*h_{\underline{s}}\otimes h_L^k})\omega^{[n]} \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\sum_i \int_X \operatorname{tr}(s_i \otimes s_i^{*h_{\underline{s}}\otimes h_L^k}\mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}(h_{\underline{s}}))\omega^{[n]}, \\ &= \frac{-\sqrt{-1}N}{2},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.9) and the fact that $\mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}$ is a trace-free endomorphisms of E. Thus the induced SU_N action has moment map

$$\mu^0_{\alpha\beta} := \mu_{\alpha,\beta} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\mathrm{Id}_N \in \mathfrak{su}_N. \tag{2.12}$$

Definition 2.9. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with $\beta < \frac{1}{2(r-1)}$. We say $h \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$ is *balanced* with respect to (α, β, k) if there is a basis $\underline{s}$ for $H^0(E \otimes L^k)$ such that $h = h_{\underline{s}} \otimes h_L^{-k}$ and $\mu^0_{\alpha,\beta}(\underline{s}) = 0$, i.e. if and only if

$$\int_X (s_i, (\mathrm{Id}_E - \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}(h_{\underline{s}})s_j)_{h_{\underline{s}}}\omega^{[n]} = \delta_{ij}$$

When this holds we refer to $\underline{s}$ as a *balanced basis* and to the inner product on $H^0(E \otimes L^k)$ that makes $\underline{s}$ orthonormal as a *balanced metric* on $H^0(E \otimes L^k)$.

2.5. **Balanced condition and the Bergman function.**

Definition 2.10. (Bergman Function) Given $h \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$ the Bergman function $B_k(h)$ of h is the restriction to the diagonal of $X \times X$ of the kernel of the L^2-projection from $L^2(X, E \otimes L^k)$ to $H^0(E \otimes L^k)$. That is,

$$B_k(h) = \sum_{i=1}^N s_i \otimes s_i^{*h\otimes h_L^k}$$

where $\{s_i\}$ are a basis for $H^0(E \otimes L^k)$ that is orthonormal with respect to the L^2-inner product $L^2(h)$.

For later use we record the following special case of the well-known asymptotics of the Bergman function [5, 6, 11, 21, 31, 37, 38].

Theorem 2.11. *(Asymptotic Expansion of the Bergman Function) Let $h \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$. For any p and any r is a C^r-asymptotic expansion of the Bergman function*

$$B_k(h) = a_0 k^n + a_1 k^{n-1} + \cdots a_p k^{n-p} + O(k^{n-p-1})$$

where $a_j \in C^\infty(\mathrm{End}(E))$ are universal coefficients that depend on the curvature of h. Moreover the $O(k^{n-p-1})$ remainder term can be taken uniformly

as h ranges in a compact set of $\mathrm{Met}(E)$*. The top two coefficients are given by*

$$B_k(h) = \mathrm{Id}_E k^n + \left(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda F_h + \frac{\mathrm{scal}(\omega)}{2}\mathrm{Id}_E\right) k^{n-1} + O(k^{n-2}). \tag{2.13}$$

Observe next that for any $h \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$ the norm of the eigenvalues of the operator $\mathfrak{C}_h = \frac{\alpha\beta}{1+\alpha|\phi|_h^2}\Lambda[\phi, \phi^{*h}]$ from are bounded by 2β, by (2.6). So from now on we assume $\beta < \frac{1}{2}$ so the hermitian operator $\mathrm{Id}_E - \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}(h)$ is strictly positive.

Proposition 2.12. *(Balanced Metrics in terms of the Bergman Function) A metric $h \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$ is balanced with respect to (α, β, k) if and only if*

$$B_k(\widehat{h}) = \frac{N}{\mathrm{rk}(E)V}(\mathrm{Id}_E - \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}(h))$$

where

$$\widehat{h} := h(\mathrm{Id}_E - \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}(h)). \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. Suppose h is balanced, so by definition $h = h_{\underline{s}}$ for some $\underline{s} \in \mathfrak{B}_k$ such that

$$\int_X (s_i, (\mathrm{Id}_E - \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}(h))s_j)_h \omega^{[n]} = \delta_{ij}. \tag{2.15}$$

By the definition of $\widehat{h}$ in the statement of the proposition this implies $\{s_i\}$ are orthonormal with respect to the L^2-metric induced by $\widehat{h}$. Hence

$$B(\widehat{h}) = \sum_i s_i \otimes s_i^{*\widehat{h}\otimes h_L^k} = \left(\sum_i s_i \otimes s_i^{*h\otimes h_L^k}\right) h^{-1}\widehat{h} = \frac{N}{\mathrm{rk}(E)V}(\mathrm{Id}_E - \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}(h)) \tag{2.16}$$

where we have used $\sum_i s_i \otimes s_i^{*h\otimes h_L^k} = \frac{N}{\mathrm{rk}(E)V}\mathrm{Id}_E$ since $h = h_{\underline{s}}$ is obtained via the Fubini-Study metric associated to $\underline{s}$.

Conversely suppose $B_k(\widehat{h}) = \frac{N}{\mathrm{rk}(E)V}(\mathrm{Id}_E - \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha\beta}(h))$ where $\widehat{h}$ is as in (2.14) and let $\underline{s}$ be a basis for $H^0(E \otimes L^k)$ that is orthonormal with respect to the L^2-norm induced by $\widehat{h}$. Then (2.15) holds definition of $\widehat{h}$ and the same calculation as in (2.16) gives $\sum_i s_i \otimes s_i^{*h\otimes h_L^k} = \frac{N}{\mathrm{rk}(E)V}$. Hence $h = h_{\underline{s}}$ and so h is balanced. □

3. Balanced Metrics and the Hitchin Equation

So far this discussion has allowed the most general values of α, β. From now on we specialise and set

$$\alpha := \frac{2(\mathrm{rk}(E)-1)}{k} \text{ and } \beta := \frac{1}{2(\mathrm{rk}(E)-1)} \tag{3.1}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We say $h \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$ is *balanced at level k* if it is balanced with respect to (α, β, k) for this choice of α, β. For convenience we repeat this definition in full:

Definition 3.1. We say a hermitian metric $h \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$ is *balanced at level* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if

$$B_k(\widehat{h}) = \frac{N}{\mathrm{rk}(E)V}(\mathrm{Id}_E - \mathfrak{C}_k(h)),$$

where

$$\widehat{h} = h(\mathrm{Id}_E - \mathfrak{C}_k(h)), \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{C}_k(h) := \frac{1}{k + 2(\mathrm{rk}(E)-1)|\phi|_h^2}\Lambda[\phi, \phi^{*h}].$$

Lemma 3.2. *An $h \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$ is balanced at level k if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i \otimes s_i^{*h\otimes h_L^k} = \frac{N}{\mathrm{rk}(E)V}\mathrm{Id}_E,$$

where the $s_i \in H^0(X, E \otimes L^k)$ form a holomorphic basis that is orthonormal with respect to $L^2(\hat{h})$.

Proof. If s_i are as in the statement then

$$B_k(\widehat{h}) = \sum_i s_i \otimes s_i^{*\widehat{h}\otimes h_L^k} = \sum_i s_i \otimes s_i^{*h\otimes h_L^k}(\mathrm{Id}_E - \mathfrak{C}_k(h))$$

and so the lemma is just a reformulation of the definition. □

Remark 3.3. As mentioned in the introduction, the precise value of β is not important. We want $\beta < 1/2$ to ensure $\mathrm{Id} - \mathfrak{C}_k(h)$ is invertible for any $h \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$, and later we will want to apply our positivity result Lemma 2.4, for which it is sufficient to take β to be anything smaller than $2(\mathrm{rk}(E)-1))^{-1}$. To ensure the balanced condition is related to the Hitchin equation we must take $\alpha = O(1/k)$. Since we have arranged $\alpha\beta = 1/k$ we will see presently the balanced condition is related to $\Lambda(\sqrt{-1}F_h + [\phi, \phi^*]) = \frac{\mu(E)}{V}\mathrm{Id}_E$. Had we made a different choice, say $\alpha\beta = \tau/k$ for some $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, then the balanced condition would be related instead to the equation $\Lambda(\sqrt{-1}F_h + \tau[\phi, \phi^*]) = \frac{\mu(E)}{V}\mathrm{Id}_E$.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose h_k is a sequence of hermitian metrics on E such that h_k is balanced at level k that converge to h_∞ as k tends to infinity. Then h_∞ satisfies the equation*

$$\Lambda\left(\sqrt{-1}F_{h_\infty} + [\phi, \phi^{*h_\infty}]\right) = \left(\frac{\mu(E)}{V} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{scal}_0(\omega)\right)\mathrm{Id}_E. \tag{3.3}$$

Thus, after a conformal change, h_∞ satisfies the Hitchin equation.

Proof. We have

$$\mathfrak{C}_k(h_k) = \frac{1}{k}\Lambda[\phi, \phi^{*h_k}] + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right). \tag{3.4}$$

This in particular implies $\mathfrak{C}_k(h_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, and so $\widehat{h_k} := h(\mathrm{Id}_E - \mathfrak{C}_k(h_k))$ tends to h_∞ as $k \to \infty$. The balanced hypothesis says

$$B_k(\widehat{h_k}) = \frac{N}{\mathrm{rk}(E)V}(\mathrm{Id} - \mathfrak{C}_k(h_k)). \tag{3.5}$$

Certainly the $\widehat{h_k}$ lie in a bounded set, so a diagonal argument with the asymptotic of the Bergman function (2.13) yields

$$B_k(\widehat{h_k}) = \mathrm{Id}_E k^n + \left(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda F_{\widehat{h_k}} + \frac{\mathrm{scal}(\omega)}{2}\mathrm{Id}_E\right) k^{n-1} + O(k^{n-2}). \tag{3.6}$$

On the other hand, by the Riemann-Roch theorem

$$\frac{N}{\mathrm{rk}(E)V}\mathrm{Id}_E = \mathrm{Id}_E k^n + \left(\frac{\mu(E)}{V} - \frac{\overline{S}}{2}\right)\mathrm{Id}_E k^{n-1} + O(k^{n-2}) \tag{3.7}$$

where, we recall, $\overline{S}$ is the average of $\mathrm{scal}(\omega)$. So putting (3.4) through (3.7) together gives

$$\sqrt{-1}\Lambda F_{\widehat{h_k}} + \Lambda[\phi, \phi^{*h_k}] + \frac{\mathrm{scal}(\omega)}{2}\mathrm{Id}_E = \left(\frac{\mu(E)}{V} - \frac{\overline{S}}{2}\right)\mathrm{Id}_E + O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right),$$

and taking k to infinity proves the first statement.

The final statement about the conformal change is standard, for if $h := e^u h_\infty$ for some real function u then $[\phi, \phi^{*h}] = [\phi, \phi^{h_\infty}]$ and $\sqrt{-1}\Lambda F_h = \sqrt{-1}\Lambda F_{h_\infty} + \Delta u \mathrm{Id}_E$. Thus if one chooses u such that $\Delta u = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{scal}_0(\omega)$ (which is possible by Hodge-Theory as $\mathrm{scal}_0(\omega)$ has average 0) then h satisfies the Hitchin equation. □

4. Balanced Metrics and Gieseker Stability

Theorem 4.1. *There exists a k_0 such that for all $k \geq k_0$ the following holds: if (E, ϕ) admits an $h \in \mathrm{Met}(E)$ that is balanced at level k then it is Gieseker semistable. In particular, if (E, ϕ) is irreducible then it is Gieseker stable.*

Proof. For the proof we follow the lines of [12, Theorem 4.2]. Assume (E, ϕ) is balanced for $k \gg 0$ and let $\{s_{bal}\}$ be a balanced basis at level k. Decompose the moment map from (2.12) and Proposition 2.7 as

$$\mu^0_{\alpha,\beta} = \int_X u^* \mu^0_{FS} \omega^{[n]} + \mu^\phi, \tag{4.1}$$

where we continue to impose our choice of α and β from (3.1). Then by definition

$$\mu^0_{\alpha,\beta}(s_{bal}) = 0.$$

Let F be a saturated coherent subsheaf (so E/F is torsion-free) and set $V' = H^0(F \otimes L^k)$. Consider the one-parameter subgroup

$$\lambda : \mathbb{C}^* \to SL(N, \mathbb{C})$$

with $\lambda(t) = t$ on V' and $\lambda(t) = t^{-\nu}$ on $V'^{\perp}$, where the orthogonal complement here is taken with respect to the inner product on $H^0(E \otimes L^k)$ that

makes the basis $\{s_{bal}\}$ orthonormal. To ensure this is an $SL(N)$ action, ν is taken to be

$$\nu = \frac{h^0(F \otimes L^k)}{h^0(E \otimes L^k) - h^0(F \otimes L^k)},$$

so the generator of the action is given by

$$\xi = \sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Id}_{V'} & 0 \\ 0 & -\nu \mathrm{Id}_{V'^{\perp}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the $\mathbb{C}^*$ action, we obtain an equivariant family of coherent sheaves with general fibre isomorphic to $(E(k), \{s_{bal}\})$ and central fibre isomorphic to $(F \otimes L^k \oplus (E/F) \otimes L^k, \{s'\} \oplus \{s''\})$ where $\{s'\}$ a basis of $H^0(F \otimes L^k)$ and $\{s''\}$ a basis of $H^0((E/F) \otimes L^k)$. So using the balanced hypothesis,

$$w(\underline{s}, \lambda) := \lim_{t \to +\infty} \langle \mu^0_{\alpha,\beta}(e^{it\zeta} u_{\underline{s}}), \zeta \rangle = \int_0^{\infty} |Y_{\zeta | e^{it\zeta} u_{\underline{s}}}|^2 dt \geq 0, \tag{4.2}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $Y_{\zeta | e^{i\zeta} u_{\underline{s}}}$ denotes the infinitesimal action of ζ on $u_{\underline{s}} \in \mathrm{Map}$. Moreover equality holds only if $i\zeta$ is an infinitesimal automorphism of $u_{\underline{s}}$ and hence is excluded when (E, ϕ) simple.

Now, the decomposition (4.1) gives a decomposition $w(\underline{s}, \lambda) = w^{FS} + w^{\phi}$. The computation of the first term w^{FS} for (i.e. the calculation without the Higgs field) is performed in [12], and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} w^{FS} &:= \int_X u^*_{\underline{s}} \mu^0_{FS} \omega^{[n]}, \\ &= \left(\frac{h^0(E \otimes L^k)}{\mathrm{rk}(E)} - \frac{h^0(F \otimes L^k)}{\mathrm{rk}(F)} \right) \frac{V \mathrm{rk}(E) \mathrm{rk}(F)}{h^0(E \otimes L^k) - h^0(F \otimes L^k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we compute the weight w^{ϕ} for $\mu^{\phi}(s)$, i.e by definition

$$w^{\phi}(s, \lambda) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \langle \mu^{\phi}(e^{it\xi} s), \xi \rangle.$$

In order to do so, for any bundle G and basis $\underline{b}$ for $H^0(G \otimes L^k)$, let $h_{FS}(\underline{b})$ denote the Fubini-Study metric induced by $\underline{b}$, and denote by π_F the orthogonal projection $E \to F$ taken with respect to $h_{FS}(\underline{s})$. Then, using that

$\mathfrak{C}_k = \mathfrak{C}_k(h_{FS}(\underline{s}))$ is trace-free,

$$\begin{aligned} w^{\phi}(s,\lambda) =& -\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{tr}_{V'}\left(\left(\int_X \langle s'_j, \mathfrak{C}_k s'_l\rangle_{h_{FS}(s')}\omega^{[n]}\right)_{j,l}\xi_{V'}\right) \\ & -\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{tr}_{V'^{\perp}}\left(\left(\int_X \langle s''_j, \mathfrak{C}_k s''_l\rangle_{h_{FS}(s'')}\omega^{[n]}\right)_{j,l}\xi_{V'^{\perp}}\right), \\ =& -\left(\int_X \mathrm{tr}(\pi_F\mathfrak{C}_k)\omega^{[n]} - \nu\int_X \mathrm{tr}(\pi_{F^{\perp}}\mathfrak{C}_k)\omega^{[n]}\right), \\ =& -\left((1+\nu)\int_X \mathrm{tr}(\pi_F\mathfrak{C}_k)\omega^{[n]} - \nu\int_X \mathrm{tr}(\mathfrak{C}_k)\omega^{[n]}\right), \\ =& -(1+\nu)\int_X \mathrm{tr}(\pi_F\mathfrak{C}_k)\omega^{[n]}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have

$$\int_X \mathrm{tr}(\pi_F\mathfrak{C}_k)\omega^{[n]} = \int_X \frac{\alpha\beta}{1+\alpha|\phi|^2}\mathrm{tr}(\pi_F\Lambda\ [\phi,\phi^*])\omega^{[n]}.$$

A computation shows that $\mathrm{tr}(\pi_F\Lambda[\phi,\phi^*]) = |\pi_F\phi(Id-\pi_F)|^2$, see [36, Proposition 2.16]. Hence, we have

$$\int_X \mathrm{tr}(\pi_F\mathfrak{C}_k)\omega^{[n]} = \left\|\frac{\alpha\beta}{\sqrt{1+\alpha|\phi|^2}}\pi_F\phi(Id-\pi_F)\right\|^2_{L^2},$$

and so in total

$$w^{\phi}(s,\lambda) = -(1+\nu)\left\|\frac{\alpha\beta}{\sqrt{1+\alpha|\phi|^2}}\pi_F\phi(Id-\pi_F)\right\|^2_{L^2}.$$

We observe that this term vanishes if and only if the Higgs field splits.

Therefore with (4.2), we obtain

$$\frac{h^0(E\otimes L^k)}{\mathrm{rk}(E)} - \frac{h^0(F\otimes L^k)}{\mathrm{rk}(F)} - \frac{h^0(E\otimes L^k)}{V\mathrm{rk}(E)\mathrm{rk}(F)}\left\|\frac{\alpha\beta}{\sqrt{1+\alpha|\phi|^2}}\pi_F\phi(Id-\pi_F)\right\|^2_{L^2} \geq 0$$

for all k sufficiently large (with strict inequality when (E,ϕ) is simple) which proves the theorem. □

References

[1] L. Álvarez-Cónsul, *Some results on the Moduli spaces of quiver bundles*, Geom. Dedicata **139** (2009) 99–120.

[2] L. Álvarez-Cónsul and O. García-Prada, *Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence, quivers and vortices*, Comm. Math. Phys. **238** (2003) 1-33.

[3] L. Álvarez-Cónsul and O. García-Prada, *Dimensional reduction and quiver bundles*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **556** (2003) 1-46.

[4] A. de Araujo, *Generalized Quivers, Orthogonal and Symplectic Representations, and Hitchin–Kobayashi Correspondences*, ArXiv:math/1508.00460 (2015).

[5] R. Berman, B. Berndtsson and J. Sjöstrand *A direct approach to Bergman Kernel assymptotics for positive line bundles*, Ark. Mat. **46** no. 2 (2007), 197–217.

[6] David Catlin, *The Bergman kernel and a theorem of Tian*, Analysis and geometry in several complex variables (Katata, 1997), Trends Math., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, (1999), 1–23.
[7] S. K. Donaldson, *Scalar curvature and projective embeddings, I*, J. Diff. Geom. **59** (2001) 479–522.
[8] ———, *Some numerical results in complex differential geometry*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **5** (2009) 571–618.
[9] R. Donagui and M. Wijnholt, *Gluing Branes, I*, ArXiv:1104.2610 (2011).
[10] M. Douglas, L. Robert, S. Lukic, R. Reinbacher *Numerical solution to the Hermitian Yang-Mills equation on the Fermat quintic* J. High Energy Phys., **12**, 083, (2007).
[11] C. Fefferman, *The Bergman kernel and biholomorphic mappings of pseudoconvex domains*, Invent. Math. **26**, (1974), 1–65.
[12] M. Garcia-Fernandez and J. Ross, *Balanced metrics on twisted Higgs Bundles*, ArXiv:1401.7108 (2014).
[13] P. Griffiths and J. Harris *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Reprint of the 1978 original. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, (1994).
[14] T. Gomez and I. Sols *Stable Higgs G-sheaves*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **24** (2008), no. 2, 703–719.
[15] T. Gomez and I. Sols *The Hermite-Einstein equation and stable principal bundles (an updated survey)* Geom. Dedicata **139** (2009), 83–98.
[16] N. Hitchin *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface* Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **55** (1987), no. 1, 59–126.
[17] D. Huybrechts, *Complex Geometry* Universitext, Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
[18] J. Keller, *Canonical metrics for Vortex type equations*, Math. Annalen, **337**, 923–979 (2007).
[19] J. Keller and S. Lukic, *Numerical Weyl-Petersson metrics on moduli spaces of Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **92**, (2015), 252–270 .
[20] , F. Kirwan, *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry*, Princeton University Press, (1984)
[21] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 254, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (2007).
[22] D. McDuff and D. Salamon *Introduction to symplectic topology*, Second edition. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, (1998). x+486 pp. ISBN: 0-19-850451-9
[23] Z. Lu and R. Seyyedali *Extremal metrics on ruled manifolds*, Adv. Math. **258** (2014), 127–153.
[24] C. Okonek and A. Teleman *The coupled Seiberg-Witten equations, vortices, and moduli spaces of stable pairs*, Internat. J. Math. **6** (1995), no. 6, 893–910.
[25] A. Schmitt, *A universal construction for moduli spaces of decorated vector bundles over curves*, ArXiv:math/0006029v3 (2004)
[26] ———, *Moduli for decorated tuples of sheaves and representation spaces for quivers*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), **115**, No. 1, (2005), 15-49.
[27] ———, *Geometric invariant theory and decorated principal bundles*, Zurich lectures in Advanced Mathematics (2008).
[28] C. Simpson, *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety*, I. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **79** (1994) 47–129.
[29] C. Simpson, *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety II Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. II.* Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. **80** (1994), 5–79 (1995).
[30] C. Simpson, *Constructing variations of Hodge Structure using Yang–Mills Theory and application to uniformization*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1988) 867–918.

[31] G. Tian, *On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **32** no. 1, (1990), 99–130.
[32] K. K. Uhlenbeck and S.-T. Yau, *On the existence of Hermitian–Yang–Mills connections on stable bundles over compact Kähler manifolds*, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. **39-S**, 1986, 257–293; **42**, (1989), 703–707.
[33] L. Wang, *Bergman Kernel and stability of holomorphic vector bundles with sections*, MIT Thesis, (2003).
[34] X. Wang, *Canonical metric and stability of vector bundles over a projective manifold*, Ph.D. Thesis, Brandeis University, (2002).
[35] ———, *Balance point and stability of vector bundles over a projective manifold*, Math. Res. Lett. **9** no. 2-3, (2002), 393–411. ,
[36] R. Wentworth *Higgs bundles and local systems on Riemann surfaces*, To appear in CRM Advanced Courses in Mathematics. ArXiv:1402.4203, (2014).
[37] S.-T. Yau, *Nonlinear analysis in geometry*, Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathématique **33**, (1986).
[38] S. Zelditch, *Szegö kernels and a theorem of Tian*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, **6**, (1998), 317–331.

MGF: Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas, Nicolás Cabrera, no. 13-15, Campus de Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid Spain
E-mail address: mario.garcia@icmat.es

JK: Centre de Mathématiques et Informatique, Aix-Marseille Université, 39, rue F. Joliot Curie, 13453 Marseille Cedex 13, France
E-mail address: julien.keller@univ-amu.fr

JR: Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WB, UK
E-mail address: j.ross@dpmms.cam.ac.uk